



ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.3

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)  
No(s). 5542/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order  
dated 19-03-2025 in BA No. 2868/2023 passed by the  
High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

ANIL SURESH SAPKAL

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No. 92498/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF  
THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 92501/2025 -  
EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 13-08-2025 This matter was called  
on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Sana Raees Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Dhawesh Pahuja, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Shirirang B. Varma, Adv.  
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.  
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.  
Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Adv.  
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally signed by  
NEETU KHADURIA  
Date: 2025.08.13  
18:28:07 IST  
Reason:

UPON hearing the counsel the  
Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties  
and perused the material placed on record.

Considering the facts and  
circumstances of the case, the period of  
incarceration undergone by the petitioner,  
i.e. more than five years, and the fact  
that the co-accused (Ajinkya Dhumal) has  
been granted bail, we are inclined to  
grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, in the facts and  
circumstances of the case, we direct that  
the petitioner be released on bail on such  
terms and conditions as may be imposed by  
the Trial Court in connection with F.I.R.  
No. 8 of 2020 registered with Bundgarden  
Police Station, Pune, Maharashtra.

It would be open for the prosecution  
to press before the trial Court for  
determining stringent conditions  
considering the role of the petitioner and  
the seriousness of the matter.

It goes without saying that the petitioner will extend all co-operation during the trial.

In the event the Trial Court or the State finds that the petitioner is delaying the conclusion of trial, it will be open for them to approach this Court for recall of this order.

The Special Leave Petition and pending application(s) are disposed of accordingly.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR